



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 54971USA3A.006 8574 09/612,418 07/07/2000 Raymond P. Johnston EXAMINER 32692 12/07/2004 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY MARSCHEL, ARDIN H PO BOX 33427 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 1631

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/612,418	JOHNSTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ardin Marschel	1631
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN COIvoid abandonment of this applice a timely filed amendment whice	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Total date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: of a		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		150
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,13,39-41,43-46,49,50,53,54,60</u>),61,72-75,77, & 81-85.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	

10. Other: Exr. Int. Sum. of 12/6/04

Application/Control Number: 09/612,418

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Further explanation of item # 5 on the enclosed Advisory action:

The rejection of all presently pending claims based on NEW MATTER in claim 1 is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04. As described in the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04, the pointed to description on page 18, lines 15-23, failed to disclose written support for the amendment to instant claim 1 regarding particular acquisition to detection zone spontaneous fluid transport. Applicants now further argue that page 24, lines 16-20, provide written support for this amendment in instant claim 1. Consideration of said page 24, lines 16-20, citation reveals that the claim 1 limitation is not supported therein as being directed to spontaneous fluid transport but rather a more limited version wherein the transport is "spontaneous and uniform fluid transport". This more limited version which requires not only spontaneous fluid transport but "also" uniform fluid transport is not consistent with the claim 1 limitation which lacks any uniform requirement. Thus, the more generic limitation regarding acquisition to detection zone spontaneous fluid transport has not been found as filed and remains NEW MATTER due to broadening the description of the invention compared to what was originally filed. Applicants further argue that the specification, drawings and originally filed claims provide a clear description of the present invention and point specifically to claim 1 as originally filed. In response a thorough review of the specification, drawings, and originally filed claims has failed to provide written basis for the claim 1 amending as indicated as containing NEW MATTER. Additionally, consideration of claim 1 as originally filed lacks any description of spontaneous fluid transport between the acquisition and detection zones and therefore fails to provide written support for the presently pending claim 1 which still contains said NEW MATTER. Applicants argue that numerous instances of

Art Unit: 1631

spontaneous fluid or liquid transport throughout the specification and original claims coupled with the description and drawings of channels including the acquisition zone and detection zone clearly supports the language of claim 1. Again, in response, a thorough review of the specification, drawings, and originally filed claims has failed to provide written basis for the claim 1 amending as indicated as containing NEW MATTER, nor have applicants pointed to any supportive description(s) therein for the specific wording amended into claim 1 which is still deemed NEW MATTER.

The prior art rejection of claims 1-3, 5-7, 13, 39-41, 43-46, 53, 54, 60, 61, 72-75, 81, and 83-85 based on Alajoki et al. (P/N 6,416,642) is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04. As described in the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04, this rejection would be maintained whether the above NEW MATTER rejection was withdrawn or not.

Applicants argue firstly by summarizing specification citations etc. regarding spontaneous fluid transport. These summarizations however do not seem to contain any argument directed at distinguishing the instantly claimed invention over the cited prior art and therefore are acknowledged but not persuasive. Applicant then argue that the addition of absorbent material to induce wicking in Alajoki et al. constitutes an external agency or external influence, force, cause or treatment. In response the instant claims are drawn to a device with characteristics cited in the claims. Such a device is assembled from its parts as does Alajoki et al. for its invention description. Absorbent material in the device of Alajoki et al. is internal to the device after its placement therein and thus is not an external agency, external influence, force, cause or treatment. The rejection is based on the assembled device of Alajoki et al. which anticipates the instantly claimed invention and not on its method of preparation. This argument is

Application/Control Number: 09/612,418

Art Unit: 1631

therefore non-persuasive as it is not directed to the basis of the rejection which is the device of Alajoki et al. whatever its manner of assemblage is.

The prior art rejection of claims 1-7, 39-41, 43-46, 49, 50, 53, 54, 60, 61, 72-75, 81, and 83-85 based on Christian (P/N 4,673,657) is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04. As described in the previous office action, mailed 6/8/04, this rejection would be maintained whether the above NEW MATTER rejection was withdrawn or not. Applicants argue that a solenoid roller is described in the reference which is an external application of force causing fluid movement and does not qualify as spontaneous fluid transport as instantly claimed. In response the solenoid roller is reasonably a part of the device of Christian and therefore not external to the device. Additionally, the instant claims lack any limitation which would distinguish such a solenoid roller content of a device as being external. The spontaneous fluid transport limitation in instant claim 1, for example, lacks any external limitation practice exclusion.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Application/Control Number: 09/612,418

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 6, 2004

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL